

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

TOBY ROSENBERG

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff MONTGOMERY
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
 Sidney L. Gold, Esquire
 Sidney L. Gold & Assoc., P.C.
 1835 Market St., Suite 515 Phila., PA 19103 215-569-1999

DEFENDANTS

MACY'S, INC. AND MACY'S RETAIL HOLDINGS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant MONTGOMERY
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF
 THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys *(If Known)***II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question <i>(U.S. Government Not a Party)</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity <i>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</i>

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES *(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)*

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))
			IMMIGRATION	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
			<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609
				<input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

V. ORIGIN *(Place an "X" in One Box Only)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original Proceeding	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed from State Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated or Reopened	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from Another District <i>(specify)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer	<input type="checkbox"/> 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
---	---	--	---	---	--	---

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing *(Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity)*:**ADEA, PHRA**

Brief description of cause:

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	<input type="checkbox"/> CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
		150,000.00 IN EXCESS	JURY DEMAND: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	<i>(See instructions):</i>	JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	-------	---------------

DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD
07/02/2020	/S/ SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44**Authority For Civil Cover Sheet**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 1001 City Avenue, Apt. EE617, Wynnewood, Pennsylvania 19096

Address of Defendant: 680 West Dekalb Pike, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 680 West Dekalb Pike, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge: _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when **Yes** is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 07/02/2020

/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esq.

21374

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

CIVIL: (Place a ✓ in one category only)

A. Federal Question Cases:

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. FELA	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Antitrust	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Patent	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Labor-Management Relations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 8. Habeas Corpus	<input type="checkbox"/> 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	<input type="checkbox"/> 10. Social Security Review Cases	<input type="checkbox"/> 11. All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify): _____										

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Airplane Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Assault, Defamation	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Marine Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): _____	<input type="checkbox"/> 7. Products Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 8. Products Liability – Asbestos	<input type="checkbox"/> 9. All other Diversity Cases
(Please specify): _____								

I, SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.

Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 07/02/2020

/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esq.

21374

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

TOBY ROSENBERG : CIVIL ACTION
v. :
MACY'S, INC. AND MACY'S :
RETAIL HOLDINGS, INC. : NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()

(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()

(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()

(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()

(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. ()

07/02/2020	/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esq.	PLAINTIFF
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
(215) 569-1999	(215) 569-3870	sgold@discrimlaw.net
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan
Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

(a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.

(b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

(c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.

(d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.

(e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS
(See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the
Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

TOBY ROSENBERG,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO._____
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
MACY'S, INC. and MACY'S RETAIL	:	
HOLDINGS, INC.	:	
<i>Defendants.</i>	:	

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

1. This is an action for an award of damages, attorneys' fees and other relief on behalf of the Plaintiff, Toby Rosenberg ("Plaintiff Rosenberg"), a former employee of the Defendants, Macy's, Inc. and Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc. ("Defendants"), who has been harmed by the Defendants' discriminatory employment practices.

2. This action is brought under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. §621 et seq. and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. §951 et seq.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1391, as Plaintiff Rosenberg's claims are substantively based on the ADEA.

4. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to consider Plaintiff Rosenberg's claims arising under the PHRA.

5. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled and Plaintiff Rosenberg has satisfied all other jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this

action. On April 7, 2020, a Notice of Right to Sue was issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and this action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said notice.

III. PARTIES:

6. Plaintiff, Toby Rosenberg (“Plaintiff”), is an eighty-three (83) year old individual and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 1001 City Avenue, Apt. EE617, Wynnewood, Pennsylvania 19096.

7. Defendant, Macy’s, Inc. (“Defendant”), is a corporation maintaining a place of business within Pennsylvania located at 680 West Dekalb Pike, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406.

8. Defendant, Macy’s Retail Holdings, Inc. (“Defendant”), is a corporation maintaining a place of business within Pennsylvania located at 680 West Dekalb Pike, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406.

9. At all times relevant hereto, the Defendants were acting through their agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment, and under the direct control of the Defendants.

10. At all times material herein, the Defendants have been a “person” and “employer” as defined by the ADEA and PHRA, and have been, and are, subject to the provisions of each said Act.

IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS:

11. Plaintiff Rosenberg, an eighty-three (83) year old individual, was employed by the Defendants from in or about September of 2016 until on or about May 1, 2018, the date of her unlawful termination.

12. Throughout her tenure of employment with the Defendants, Plaintiff Rosenberg held the position of Flex Employee in Defendants' Beauty Department, and at all times maintained a satisfactory job performance rating in said capacity.

13. By way of background, Plaintiff Rosenberg is a highly qualified individual with over thirty (30) years of experience working in the retail industry.

14. As a Flex Employee, Plaintiff Rosenberg was responsible for selling products throughout Defendants' Beauty Department, including in the cosmetics and fragrance departments. Upon her hire, Plaintiff Rosenberg began reporting directly to Joe Quinn ("Quinn"), Beauty Department Manager.

15. In or about August of 2017, Rosemary Parker ("Parker") replaced Quinn in the position of Beauty Department Manager and Plaintiff Rosenberg began reporting directly to Rosemary.

16. Thereafter, Defendants, through their agents, servants, and employees, including, but not limited to, Parker, began subjecting Plaintiff Rosenberg to discrimination based on her age.

17. By way of example, on several occasions, Parker summoned Plaintiff Rosenberg to her office and falsely accused her of engaging in various policy violations, such as eating while on the work floor, talking on the phone, and failing to properly perform her job duties. Plaintiff Rosenberg adamantly denied said accusations, as she always performed her job responsibilities in a diligent and competent manner.

18. Moreover, Kay Cloutier (Cloutier"), Lancôme Counter Manager, and Mary Liz McGovern ("McGovern"), Clinique Sales Associate, both significantly younger individuals,

began demanding that Plaintiff Rosenberg work in departments other than those assigned to her and unjustifiably criticized her job performance.

19. In or about April of 2018, Defendants held a beauty pre-sale event during which Defendants assigned each Sales Associate a sales goal. Although Plaintiff Rosenberg's sales goal was only \$150, Plaintiff Rosenberg far exceeded said goal by achieving \$750 in sales.

20. Despite Plaintiff Rosenberg's exemplary performance, on or about May 1, 2018, Defendants abruptly terminated Plaintiff Rosenberg's employment, allegedly based upon her "inability" to perform her job and "customer complaints." Significantly, Plaintiff Rosenberg was not aware of any customer complaints lodged against her. In fact, numerous customers contacted Defendants to praise Plaintiff Rosenberg's performance and helpfulness.

21. Following Plaintiff Rosenberg's termination, Fred LNU ("Fred"), an acquaintance of Plaintiff Rosenberg, visited Defendants' store and asked for assistance from Plaintiff Rosenberg. In response, a female employee of Defendants informed Fred that Plaintiff Rosenberg was no longer employed because Plaintiff Rosenberg was "elderly" and "had to be let go."

22. Plaintiff Rosenberg believes and avers that the Defendants' articulated reasons for her termination are pretextual and that she was actually terminated based on her age.

COUNT I
(ADEA - Age Discrimination)
Plaintiff Rosenberg v. Defendants

23. Plaintiff Rosenberg incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

24. The actions of the Defendants, through their agents, servants and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Rosenberg to discrimination on the basis of her age, and in knowing or

showing reckless disregard for whether their conduct was prohibited by statute, constituted a willful violation of the ADEA.

25. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendants in violation of the ADEA, Plaintiff Rosenberg sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in the loss of her employment, which caused her to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.

COUNT II
(PHRA - Age Discrimination)
Plaintiff Rosenberg v. the Defendants

26. Plaintiff Rosenberg incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

27. The actions of the Defendants, through their agents, servants and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Rosenberg to discrimination on the basis of her age, constituted a violation of the PHRA.

28. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendants in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Rosenberg sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in the loss of her employment, which caused her to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.

29. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendants in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Rosenberg suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

30. Plaintiff Rosenberg incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of his Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rosenberg requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendants, and Order that:

- a. Defendants compensate Plaintiff Rosenberg with a rate of pay and other benefits and emoluments of employment to which she would have been entitled had she not been subjected to unlawful discrimination;
- b. Defendants compensate Plaintiff Rosenberg with an award of front pay, if appropriate;
- c. Defendants pay to Plaintiff Rosenberg punitive damages, liquidated damages, compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses as allowable;
- d. Defendants pay to Plaintiff Rosenberg pre and post judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
- e. The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Rosenberg demands trial by jury.

SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOC., P.C.

By: /s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esquire
SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE
I.D. No.: 21374
1835 Market Street, Suite 515
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 569-1999
Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED: July 2, 2020

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this **Complaint** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of Title 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DATE: 6/15/2020


Toby Rosenberg
TOBY ROSENBERG, PLAINTIFF